

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/930,921	08/16/2001	Andreas Arning	DE919990079US1	2263
75	590 06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
2455 South Roa M/S P386	ad		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Poughkeepsie,	NY 12601		2171	(
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	, <i>></i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
v	09/930,921	ARNING ET AL.	/m			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	16 August 2001.					
	This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyonetion is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the c	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	B/08) Paper No. 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to application filed on August 16, 2001 in which claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 ends with a comma.

Claims 4-8 depend from claim 3, thus contain the deficiency of that claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Automatically detecting deviations and calculating a classification tree was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make or use the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2171

Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "automatically detecting deviations" is indefinite. It is not clear how the detecting deviations step is automatic when the classification tree is associated with predicates. Further, the segment "calculating a classification tree" is indefinite. It is not clear how/what calculation takes place. Last, the phrases "leaf record set" and "subset of records" are indefinite. Leaf record set and subset of records are not clearly defined.

Conclusion

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. amendments, 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,921

Art Unit: 2171

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF June 8, 2004

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TOHNOLOGY CENTER 2100